# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 2

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0591-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN103046199 CASE NO.: 33317
RESPONDENT NAME: G.P. MULLEN AND JANIS MULLEN

ORDER TYPE:		
X 1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
_FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	_SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
AMENDED ORDER	EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	BLIC WATER SUPPLY X PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
TYPE OF OPERATION: Grocery store with SMALL BUSINESS: _X_Yes OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: Then this facility.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Regular CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:		itional pending enforcement actions regarding erest in this matter.  O. No comments were received.
Ms. Lena Rober TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Bar Respondent: Mr. G.P. Mullen and I	ts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-001 Ms. Kimberly Morales, Air Enforcement Sectory Kalda, Austin Regional Office, MC R-11, (5 Ms. Janis Mullen, P.O. Box 216, Weir, Texas desented by counsel on this enforcement matter.	9 ion, MC R-12, (713) 422-8938 12) 339-2929

# RESPONDENT NAME: G.P. MULLEN AND JANIS MULLEN

DOCKET NO.: 2007-0591-PST-E

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED	
Type of Investigation:	Initial Calculated Penalty: \$3,850	Technical Requirements: The Respondents no longer own the Facility.	
Complaint X_Routine	Total Assessed: \$3,600	The Respondents no longer own the Pacinity.	
Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Total Deferred: \$250 Expedited Settlement Financial Inability to Pay		
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None	SEP Conditional Offset		
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: March 19, 2007	Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$200/\$3,400		
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: March 19, 2007	The Respondents paid \$200 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of \$3,400 shall be payable in 34 monthly payments of \$100 each.		
Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed August 9, 2007. The Respondents requested a financial	High X Average Poor		
ability-to-pay analysis, which was completed on October 10, 2008. The Agreed Order was signed November 5, 2008.			
Current Compliance Status:	Major Source: Yes X No		
The Respondents no longer own the Facility as of March 31, 2008.	Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002		
PST:			
1. Failed to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed implementation date, two USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2)].			
2. Failed to provide an ameded UST registration to the TCEQ for any change or additional information regarding USTs within 30 days from the date of occurance of the change [30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.7(d)(3)].			
	. •		

#### Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW) Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 9, 2007 Assigned 26-Mar-2007 PCW 22-Jan-2009 Screening 18-Apr-2007 **EPA Due** RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION Respondent G. P. Mullen and Janis Mullen Reg. Ent. Ref. No. RN103046199 Facility/Site Region 11-Austin Major/Minor Source Minor CASE INFORMATION No. of Violations Enf./Case ID No. 33317 Docket No. 2007-0591-PST-E Order Type 1660 Media Program(s) Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Kimberly Morales Multi-Media EC's Team EnforcementTeam 5 \$10,000 Maximum Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum **Penalty Calculation Section** \$3,500 TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties) Subtotal 1 ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1 Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage. \$350 10% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 Compliance History Enhancement for two Notices of Violation with same or similar Notes violations. 0% Enhancement Subtotal 4 \$0 Culpability No Notes The respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

	Good Faith Effor	t to Comply		0% Reducti	on	Subtotal 5	\$
		Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/S	Settlement Offer	•		
	Extraordinary						
	Ordinary	.1					
	N/A	X	(mark with x)				
	Notes	The	respondent does	not meet the good fail	th criteria.		
		Total EB Amoun	its \$4,518	0% Enhancem		Subtotal 6	\$
	Approx.	Cost of Compliand		Capped at the reta	, 25 ¢ /ou.i.		
SUM	OF SUBTOTAL	S 1-7				Final Subtotal	\$3,85
OTHE	ER FACTORS A	S JUSTICE	MAY REQUIR	E nter number only; e.g30 fo	or-30%)	Adjustment	\$
Neudoe	Notes	abota by the that	cated percentago. (E				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	Fina	Penalty Amount	\$3,85
STAT	TUTORY LIMIT A	ADJUSTMEI	NT.		Final	Assessed Penalty	\$3,850
	ERRAL				0% Reduction	n Adjustment	\$
Reduces	s the Final Assessed Per	alty by the indicted	d percentage. (Enter i	number only; e.g. 20 for 20%	% reduction.)	$\neg$	
	Notes	De	eferral not offered	for non-expedited sett	tlement.		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
DAY	NOI E DENALTY			The second decrease shall be a second	ray makina ya dama ya sab ne enama makina katan makina kata		\$3.850

PCW

Respondent G. P. Mullen and Janis Mullen

Case ID No. 33317

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision January 9, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103046199

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank
Enf. Coordinator Kimberly Morales

**Compliance History Worksheet** 

	******	Enter Number Here	Adjust.	
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	2	10%	
	Other written NOVs	0	0%	
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%	
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%	
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0	0%	
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government		0%	
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%	
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	· 0	0%	
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%	
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%	
		se Enter Yes or No		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%	
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%	
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%	
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%	
	Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 2)	<b>1</b>
at Violator (Su				
No.		ercentage (Su	ibtotal 3) L	C
Control of the second s	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)			ASTERNI
Average P	erformer Adjustment P	ercentage (Su	ıbtotal 7) [	. 0
oliance History	y Summary			
Compliance History	Enhancement for two Notices of Violation with same or similar violations.			
Notes				

Screening Date	The second of th	Docket No. 2007-0591-PS	T-E PGW
	G. P. Mullen and Janis Mullen		Policy Revision 2 (September 200)
Case ID No.			PCW Revision January 9, 200
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	II		
	Petroleum Storage Tank		
Enf. Coordinator			
Violation Number	1		
Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex.	Admin. Code § 334.47(a)(2)	
Violation Description	implementation date, two USTs	om service, no later than 60 days at for which any applicable componen compliance with the upgrade requir	t of the system is
			Base Penalty \$10,00
>> Environmental, Property a	and Human Health Matrix		
	Harm		ittoriticative extension in the control of the cont
Release		linor	
OR Actual Potential		Percent	25%
>>Programmatic Matrix			
Falsification	Major Moderate M	linor	
		Percent P	0%
		4	
		be exposed to pollutants which wor vironmental receptors as a result of	
		Adjustment	\$7,500
			\$2,50
Violation Events			
Number of Vic	plation Events 1	30 Number of viol	ation days
	daily x		
mark only one with an x	quarterly semiannual annual single event	Violat	tion Base Penalty \$2,50
Ir-	The same of the sa		
One monthly		n documentation of the violation duri the April 18, 2007 screening date.	ng the March 19,
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation	Statutory L	mit Test
Estimated	d EB Amount	\$4,514 Violation F	inal Penalty Total \$2,75
	opel a	=	directed for limital
	inis vid	olation Final Assessed Penalty (ad	djusted for limits) \$2,750

Reg. Ent. Reference No	. 33317	nd Janis Mullen					
GENERAL AND THE STORY OF THE ST	Petroleum Stor	age Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
				355		5.0	15
	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
ltem Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	a a sa n/a sa	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0 \$0	n/a	\$0 \$0
Training/Sampling Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
		<u> </u>		JI V.U	μ Ψυ		
Other (as needed)	\$10,000	22-Dec-1998	30-Dec-2007	9.0	\$4,514	e Date Required is t	\$4,514
Notes for DELAYED costs	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	system tem and	n from service. The	e Date Required is t the estimated date o	\$4,514 he date the f compliance.
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	system tem and	from service. The the Final Date is the final Date is the final Carter (except for	e Date Required is t the estimated date o one-time avoided o	\$4,514 he date the f compliance.
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	system tem and ntering	from service. The the Final Date is litem (except for \$0	e Date Required is t the estimated date o one-time avoided o	\$4,514 he date the f compliance.  costs)
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	system tem and ntering 0.0 0.0	from service. The the Final Date is fitten (except for \$0 \$0	e Date Required is the estimated date of the estimated date of the estimated cone-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	\$4,514 he date the f compliance.  costs) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	system tem and ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0	from service. The the Final Date is to see the final Date is to see the form of the first see the fi	e Date Required is the estimated date of some-time avoided to \$0 \$0 \$0	\$4,514 he date the f compliance.  sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e Date Required is the estimated date of the estimated date of the estimated date of the estimated of the es	\$4,514  he date the f compliance.  sosts)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e Date Required is the estimated date of the	\$4,514 he date the f compliance.  sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
AVOIDED COSTS  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	system and	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e Date Required is the estimated date of some-time avoided cone-time avoided cone-ti	\$4,514  the date the f compliance.  sosts)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal  Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling  Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	tem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e Date Required is the estimated date of the	\$4,514 he date the f compliance.  sosts) \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated respondent v	cost to permanent vas required to upg	ly remove the UST grade the UST sys	system and	item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	e Date Required is the estimated date of some-time avoided cone-time avoided cone-ti	\$4,514  the date the f compliance.  sosts)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$

Screening Date 18-Apr-2007 Docket No. 2007-0591-PST-E	PGW*
	Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No. 33317	PCW Revision January 9, 2007
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN103046199  Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank	
Enf. Coordinator Kimberly Morales	
Violation Number 2	
Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.7(d)(3)	-
Failed to provide an amended UST registration to the TCEQ for any change or add information regarding USTs within 30 days from the date of occurrence of the cha Specifically, the registration was not updated to reflect the current contact information.	inge.
Base Pe	enalty \$10,000
>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix    Release   Major   Moderate   Minor	9,000
	\$1,000
Violation Events	
Number of Violation Events 1 30 Number of violation days	
daily monthly	74.000
mark only one with an x semiannual annual single event x	\$1,000
One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the March 19, investigation.	2007
Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test	
Estimated EB Amount \$4 Violation Final Penalty	Total \$1,100
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for li	mits) \$1,100

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sneet	Ly it is at a minimum about and a color of	2 18 6 Blend
Respondent Case ID No.		nd Janis Mullen	company and all the control of the Market company of the basis and the Market Control of the Market Control of the Market Control of the Cont				
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
Alian, New york and Artist the Control of the Contr	Petroleum Stor	age Tank				Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
						5.0	15
最终。	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	• EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$		环烷酸 植心海				
salatanki 20 liillaanii 1900 oo bib iin oo kiin ahaan aa	ar stalling and a stall and a stall and a stall and a	Marinistani Daniero ira en 1903 iran		iiat-oʻr istiiklii-o	CONTROL CONTROL OF TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF	Samuel Carlot Mark all and a consequence of a con-	who is the plant has taken and
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	Wr. Lin/amp.	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	⊯ m/as —	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	19-Mar-2007	14-Jan-2008	0.8	\$4	n/a m/a	\$4
		'		undate			
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	Date is t	d UST registration he estimated date item (except for	n. The Date Require of compliance.	d is the date of
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED Costs  Disposal	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	Date is to	d UST registration he estimated date item (except for	n. The Date Require of compliance.	d is the date of
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs  Disposal Personnel	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	ntering 0.0	d UST registration he estimated date  item (except for \$0 \$0	n. The Date Require of compliance.  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0	d is the date of  osts) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	d UST registration he estimated date item (except for	n. The Date Require of compliance.	osts)  \$0 \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS DISposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	ntering 0.0	d UST registration he estimated date item (except for, \$0 \$0	n. The Date Require of compliance.  one-time avoided c \$0 \$0 \$0	d is the date of  osts) \$0 \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS  Disposal Personnel inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	d UST registration he estimated date item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n. The Date Require of compliance.  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0
Notes for DELAYED costs  AVOIDED COSTS Disposal Personnel nspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2] ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	ntering 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	d UST registration he estimated date litem (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n. The Date Require of compliance.  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts)  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$0  \$
Notes for DELAYED costs  Avoided Costs Disposal Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling Supplies/equipment Financial Assurance [2]	Estimated cos	t to accurately prep the investigat	pare and submit ar tion and the Final I	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	d UST registration he estimated date  item (except for \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	n. The Date Require of compliance.  one-time avoided of \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	osts)  \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

## **Compliance History**

Customer	/Respondent/Owner-C	perator:	CN602444226	MULLEN, G. F	ν.		Classificatio	n: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.00
Regulated	d Entity:		RN103046199	G P MULLEN	AND JANIS M	ULLEN	Classificatio	n: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 3.00
ID Numbe	er(s):		PETROLEUM ST REGISTRATION	ORAGE TANK		REGISTRA	TION		9606
Location:			3376 COUNTY R	OAD 100, HUTT	O, TX, 78634		Rating Date	: September 01 06	Repeat Violator: No
TCEQ Re	gion:	•	REGION 11 - AU	STIN					
Date Com	npliance History Prepar	red:	April 27, 2007					•	
Agency D	ecision Requiring Com	pliance History:	Enforcement						
Complian	ce Period:		April 27, 2002 to /	April 27, 2007					
TCEQ Sta	aff Member to Contact	for Additional Infor	rmation Regarding t	nis Compliance l	listory				
Name:	Shontay Wilch	ner	Pho	one: <u>(512</u>	) 239-2136				•
			Site C	ompliance His	tory Compo	nents		•	
1. Has the	site been in existence	and/or operation	for the full five year	compliance perio	od?	Yes			
	ere been a (known) cha					No	•		
3. If Yes,	who is the current own	er?				N/A			
4. if Yes,	who was/were the price	or owner(s)?				N/A			
5. When	did the change(s) in ov	wnership occur?				N/A			
Compor	nents (Multimedia)	for the Site :							
A.	Final Enforcement Or	rders, court judger	ments, and consent	decrees of the sta	ate of Texas an	d the federa	government.		
	N/A								
В.	Any criminal conviction	ons of the state of	Texas and the feder	al government.				•	
C.	Chronic excessive er	nissions events.							
	N/A								
D.	The approval dates of 1 09/23/2003	(248253)	OCEDS Inv. Track. N	lo.)					
	2 07/05/2006 3 03/23/2007	(554283)							
E.	Written notices of vio	` , `		).)				,	
	Date: 09/24/3 Self Report?	2003 (248253 NO	3)		Classifica	ation: Mo	derate		
	Citation: Description:  Date: 07/07/	30 TAC Cha Failure to pro regarding US addition, or v aware of the	pter 334, SubChapt ovide amended region of STS within 30 days for within 30 days of the change or addition,	stration for any cl rom the date of th date on which th	nange or additione	onal informat of the change	or		•
	Self Report?	NO			Classifica	ation: Mod	derate	•	
	Citation: Description:	Failure to pro regarding US addition, or v	pter 334, SubChapt ovide amended region STs within 30 days f within 30 days of the change or addition,	stration for any cl rom the date of th date on which th	ne occurrence o	of the change	or		
	Self Report? Citation: Description:	Failure to pe	pter 334, SubChapt rform the permanen met upgrade require	t removal of an u		•	derate ST) system		
F.	Environmental audits							1	Λ
G.	N/A Type of environments	al management sy	rstems (EMSs).			•		CH-	H

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

## **Compliance History**

Custome	r/Respo	ndent/Owner-O	perator:	CN602444234	MULLE	EN, JANIS		Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.00
Regulated	d Entity:	:		RN103046199	GPM	ULLEN AND JANI	S MULLEN	Classification: AVERAGE	Site Rating: 3.00
ID Numbe	er(s):			PETROLEUM ST REGISTRATION		TANK	REGI	STRATION	9606
Location:						, HUTTO, TX, 786	34	Rating Date: 9/1/2006 Rep	eat Violator: NO
TCEQ Re	egion:			REGION 11 - AU	STIN				•
Date Con	npliance	e History Prepar	red:	April 27, 2007					
Agency D	ecision	Requiring Com	pliance History:	Enforcement					
Complian	ce Peri	od:		April 27, 2002 to	April 27, 2	2007			
TCEQ Sta	aff Mem	ber to Contact	for Additional Info	rmation Regarding t	his Comp	liance History			
Name:		Shontay Wilch	ner	Ph	one:	(512) 239-2136	<u> </u>		
				Site C	omplian	ice History Con	ponents		
1. Has the	e site be	een in existence	e and/or operation	for the full five year	•		Yes		
				of the site during th			No		
		the current own					N/A		
4. if Yes,	who wa	as/were the pric	or owner(s)?				N/A		-
5. When	did the	change(s) in ov	wnership occur?				N/A		<b>-</b> -
Compoi	nents (	(Multimedia)	for the Site :						<del></del>
Α.				ments, and consent	decrees o	of the state of Texa	is and the f	ederal government.	
	N/A								
						•			
В.		riminal conviction	ons of the state of	Texas and the fede	ral goverr	nment.			
_	N/A								
C.		nic excessive en	nissions events.						
	N/A								•
D.	The a			CCEDS I.iv. Track. I	No.)				
		1 09/23/2003 2 07/05/2006						•	•
		3 03/23/2007							
E.	Writte	n notices of vio	lations (NOV). (C	CEDS Inv. Track. No	o.)				
		Date: 09/24/2	2003 (24825	3)			•		
		Self Report?	NO			Clas	sification:	Moderate	
		Citation: Description:	Failure to pr regarding U addition, or	apter 334, SubChapt ovide amended regi STs within 30 days to within 30 days of the change or addition	stration for from the d	or any change or a late of the occurre which the owner o	nce of the c	hange or	
		Date: 07/07/2							
		Self Report?	NO			Clas	sification:	Moderate	
		Citation: Description:	Failure to pr regarding U addition, or	apter 334, SubChapi ovide amended regi STs within 30 days to within 30 days of the e change or addition	stration for from the dead to dead to dead dead to dead to dea	or any change or a late of the occurre which the owner o	nce of the c	hange or	
		Self Report?	NO	<b>5</b>			sification:	Moderate	
		Citation: Description:	Failure to pe	apter 334, SubChapterform the permaner met upgrade require	nt remova	47(a)(2) I of an undergroun	d storage ta	ank (UST) system	
_		, ,		. • '					

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

CH-B

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

NI/A

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

### TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
G.P. MULLEN AND JANIS	§	
MULLEN	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
RN103046199	_	

#### AGREED ORDER

### **DOCKET NO. 2007-0591-PST-E**

#### I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its	agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
("Commission" or "TCEQ") consider	ed this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement
action regarding G.P. Mullen and Janis	Mullen ("Respondents") under the authority of TEX. WATER
CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive D	irector of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division,
and Respondents appear before the Co	mmission and together stipulate that:

- 1. Respondents own and operate a grocery store with two inactive underground storage tanks ("USTs") located at 3376 County Road 100 in Hutto, Williamson County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. WATER CODE ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and Respondents agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Respondents are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. Respondents received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about March 23, 2007.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Respondents of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

### G.P. Mullen and Janis Mullen DOCKET NO. 2007-0591-PST Page 2

6. An administrative penalty in the amount of three thousand eight hundred fifty dollars (\$3,850.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Financial Assurance Section of the Commission's Financial Administration Division reviewed the financial documentation submitted by Respondents and determined that Respondents are unable to pay part of the administrative penalty. Therefore, two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) of the penalty is deferred contingent upon Respondents's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Respondents fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may require Respondents to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

Respondents paid two hundred dollars (\$200.00) of the undeferred administrative penalty. The remaining amount of three thousand four hundred dollars (\$3,400.00) shall be payable in 34 monthly payments of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If Respondents fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the acceleration of any remaining balance constitutes the failure by Respondents to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order and the Executive Director may demand payment of any deferred penalty amount.

- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Respondents have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that GP Mullen and Janis Mullen no longer own the Facility as of March 31, 2008.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Respondents have not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

The Respondents are alleged to have violated:

- a. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.47(a)(2), by failing to permanently remove from service, no later than 60 days after the prescribed implementation date, two USTs for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements, as documented during an investigation on March 19, 2007.
- b. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.7(d)(3), by failing to provide an amended UST registration to the TCEQ for any change or additional information regarding USTs within 30 days from the date of occurrence of the change. Specifically, the registration was not updated to reflect the current contact information, as documented during an investigation on March 19, 2007.

#### III. DENIALS

Respondents generally deny each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

#### IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Respondents pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6, above. The payment of this administrative penalty and Respondents's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the Allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: G.P. Mullen and Janis Mullen, Docket No. 2007-0591-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Respondents.
- 3. If Respondents fail to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Respondents' failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Respondents shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Respondents shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Respondents become aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Respondents shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Respondents receive written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Respondents in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 6. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 7. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't. Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Respondents, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Respondents, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

G.P. Mullen and Janis Mullen DOCKET NO. 2007-591-PST-E Page 5

G.P. Mullen

### SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission
For the Executive Director Date    1/15/2009   Date
For the Executive Director Date
I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I as authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below me signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation
I also understand that my failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/o Respondents's failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:
<ul> <li>A negative impact on Respondents's compliance history;</li> <li>Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted by Respondents;</li> <li>Referral of this case to the Attorney General's office for contempt, injunctive relie additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;</li> <li>Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions against Respondents;</li> <li>Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions again Respondents; and</li> <li>TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.</li> </ul>
In addition, I understand that any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution  Signature  Date
Name (Printed or typed)  Authorized representative of

G.P. Mullen and Janis Mullen DOCKET NO. 2007-591-PST-E Page 6

Signature M. Muller

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized representative of
Janis Mullen

11-5-08 Date

SelC

Title